

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/674,190 09/29/2003 Ara Kulidjian 00100.02.0035 4146 29153 09/09/2005 EXAMINER ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. CHERRY, STEPHEN J C/O VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ, P.C. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 222 N.LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601 2863

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action					
Before the Filing of an Appeal	Brief				

		h·A
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/674,190	KULIDJIAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen J. Cherry	2863	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief					
Before the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen J. Cherry	2863			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A					
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN					
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig to than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two montl	ns of the date of		
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>5,17 and 18</u> .					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-4, 7-16, and 19-20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a		
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t door NICT place the application i	n condition for allows	noo booduso:		
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by Regarding claims 1, 3-4, 7-16, and 19-20, applicant arc is directed to a non-VGA system. Phillip discloses a sy line 17 as an example. Thus, because Phillip and Pere	ques that Philipp cannot be properly estem capable of testing CRT, as we	combined with Peresell as LCD displays, s	z because Phillip ee '532, col. 15,		
combination or references, with the stated motivation, in the claimed subject matter. The claim describes provide signals. '352, col. 10, line 16, teaches claimed subject	s proper. Regarding claim 8, applic ling a keybord command to the com	ant states that Perez puter under test to g	does not teach enerate display		
actuates keyboard 92					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
MICHAEL NGHIEN PRIMARY EXAMINER					
PRIMARY EXAMINATION					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050829

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)